|    | Case 1:13-cr-10149-FDS Document 131 Filed 09/01/15 Page 1 of 29            |   |
|----|--|---|
|    | Case 1.15 of 101+5 f D5 Document 131 f nea 05/01/15 f age 1 of 25          | 1 |
| 1  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS                     |   |
| 2  |  |   |
| 3  |  |   |
| 4  | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  |   |
| 5  | Plaintiff, ) Criminal Action   |   |
| 6  | ) No. 13-10149-FDS   |   |
| 7  | VS. )  |   |
| 8  | EDWARD J. MacKENZIE, JR., ) Defendant. )                                   |   |
| 9  |  |   |
| 10 | BEFORE: THE HONORABLE F. DENNIS SAYLOR, IV                                 |   |
| 11 |  |   |
| 12 | SENTENCING   |   |
| 13 |  |   |
| 14 |  |   |
| 15 | John Joseph Moakley United States Courthouse                               |   |
| 16 | Courtroom No. 2 One Courthouse Way   |   |
| 17 | Boston, MA 02210   |   |
| 18 | March 6, 2015  |   |
| 19 | 2:00 p.m.  |   |
| 20 |  |   |
| 21 |  |   |
| 22 |  |   |
| 23 | Valerie A. O'Hara  |   |
| 24 | Official Court Reporter John Joseph Moakley United States Courthouse       |   |
| 25 | One Courthouse Way, Room 3204  Boston, MA 02210  E-mail: vaohara@gmail.com |   |

## 1 PROCEEDINGS THE CLERK: All rise. Thank you. You may be seated. 2. Court is now in session in the matter of United States vs. 3 Edward J. McKenzie, Jr., Criminal Matter Number 13-10149. 4 Counsel, will you please identify yourself for the record. MR. HAFER: Good afternoon, your Honor, for the United States, Assistant U.S. Attorney Zach Hafer and Dustin 8 9 Chao. THE COURT: Good afternoon. 02:03PM 10 11 MR. GRIFFIN: Good afternoon, your Honor, 12 Robert Griffin on behalf of Mr. MacKenzie. 1.3 THE COURT: Good afternoon. This is the sentencing of 14 Edward MacKenzie, Jr. I have received and read the presentence 15 report as revised through December 23rd, the defendant's 16 sentencing memorandum filed March 5th with various attachments, 17 the government's sentencing memorandum filed February 27th with 18 various attachments. The defendant's sentencing memorandum 19 included letters from supporters. 02:03PM 20 To my knowledge, no other materials have been 21 submitted to the Court. Is there anything else I should have seen that I have not, Mr. Hafer? 22 23 MR. HAFER: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Griffin? 24 25 MR. GRIFFIN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Griffin, I know you've had a chance to 1 review the pre-sentence report. Have you gone over it with 2. Mr. MacKenzie? 3 MR. GRIFFIN: I have, your Honor, at length. 4 THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. MacKenzie? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Hafer, are there any victims present who wish to participate in this proceeding? 8 9 MR. HAFER: Your Honor, I'm not aware of any. 02:04PM 10 Obviously, it's a victim case, and our victim witness 11 coordinator has contacted various victims, who I know a number 12 of them are present, but I've not, at least, been given any 1.3 notice of any folks who want to make statements. 14 THE COURT: All right. Let me turn then to the 15 objections in the PSR. There are a number of them. One of them involved a typographical error that I think was corrected. 16 There's an objection as to the inclusion of paragraph 79 17 through 86, which defendant contends does not rise to the level 18 19 of obstruction of justice. Do you want to be heard on that, 02:04PM 20 Mr. Griffin? 21 MR. GRIFFIN: Your Honor, my objection was the 22 inclusion in the pre-sentence report. The pre-sentence report, 23 the probation has determined that it did not rise to the level 24 of obstruction of justice. Obviously, I concur with that

determination, your Honor. I just objected to the inclusion

2.

1.3

02:06PM 20

02:05PM 10

that it simply did not rise to that level, it shouldn't be included in the order.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule that objection. As I think you're aware, there's really no limitation or hardly any limitation on the information that can be provided to the Court for purposes of sentencing, and I think that's appropriately part of the record.

The next objection is to the application of the guidelines. In substance, I think defendant contends that there are four or so adjustments that defendant contends more or less overlap and lead to an unfair or improper increase.

Mr. Griffin, I'll let you frame the argument.

MR. GRIFFIN: As I've noted in the objection, the defendant's position, your Honor, is that the multiple enhancements all encompass the same behavior by Mr. MacKenzie, and for lack of a better term, I consider it to be piling on, so to speak, in calculating Mr. MacKenzie's guideline range, so for those reasons, your Honor, I would object to all of those.

I believe that certainly at least one of those enhancements is appropriate, and I believe one of those enhancements would cover all of the behavior that's contained, that he's pled guilty to within the indictments, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule that objection as well. The way the guidelines work, for better or for worse, there often is substantial overlap and duplication.

2.

1.5

02:08PM 20

02:07PM 10

This comes up in virtually all cases involving the combination of guns and drugs, but I think the same principles apply here in which you can have, for example, a sophisticated means enhancement and an abuse of position of enhancement of trust, and to some extent they do overlap but it's an appropriate calculation under the guidelines, so I'm going to overrule that objection.

The third objection or set of objections is to paragraphs 109 through 118 involving what defendants say are partial contents of conversations with his daughters and David Stark. Mr. Griffin, do you want to be heard on that?

MR. GRIFFIN: Again, your Honor, I don't want to be repetitive, but the objection is that the snippets that were included were partial snippets of conversations that

Mr. MacKenzie had while he was incarcerated at Wyatt that were recorded, and he knew he was being recorded, and my suggestions to the Court is that hearing just those snippets of the conversations and those quotes can very easily be taken out of context, misconstrued and lead to improper conclusions as to the meaning and the intent of the conversations and the words that were spoken, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Again, I'm going to overrule that objection. Again, I think the information is properly included in the pre-sentence report for what it's worth, and then finally defendant objects to a one-point application in

its criminal history calculation. Defendant contends that the sentence in question is outside the 10-year time frame for prior offenses.

Mr. Griffin, do you want to be heard on that?

MR. GRIFFIN: Not on that particular one, your Honor,
but I would like to note, your Honor, that as to paragraph 125
in the pre-sentence report where the defendant was charged with
larceny over \$250 in Boston Municipal Court, a jury of 6.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GRIFFIN: That matter indicates that it was dismissed on November 3rd of 1993. In reviewing the indictment, Mr. MacKenzie's first alleged criminal -- first criminal act that he has pled to, the Space Propulsion Act Racketeering Account Number 1 took place in the spring of 2004, and I would suggest that that takes that -- that would take that particular offense, which he received one point for out of the 10 years, and that that should not be applied.

THE COURT: Mr. Hafer, do you want to respond? I think paragraph 125 involves a continuance without a finding.

MR. HAFER: Just factually, your Honor, the conspiracy which he has pled guilty to is alleged to have begun in September of 2002. The Space Propulsion wire fraud is the first racketeering act if conspiracy is alleged, which he's admitted to, is alleged to have begun gun in September of '02, so we would agree with the response of the probation office. I

02:09PM 10

2.

02:09PM 20

2.

1.3

1.5

02:11PM 20

02:10PM 10

was aware he was objecting to 124. I don't know that I was aware he was objecting to 125. To the extent that the objection is that it's outside of the 10-year window, it's not based on the indictment to which he's pled guilty.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule that objection as well. Again, I think the calculation by probation as set forth in their response is correct, so I think that takes care of all the objections to the PSR. Let me turn then to the guideline calculations, which is my starting point.

The base offense level is 7, there's a 14-level enhancement based on the amount of the loss, a two-level enhancement because the offense involves sophisticated means, a two-level enhancement due to a representation that he was acting on behalf of a religious organization, a two-level enhancement for abuse of position of trust, and a four-level enhancement for being an organizer or leader of a criminal activity. That all adds up to Level 31. There is a three-level reduction for acceptance of responsibility on government motion, Mr. Hafer?

 $\mbox{MR. HAFER: }\mbox{ Yes, your Honor, we do make the motion for the third point.}$ 

THE COURT: That motion is allowed. That brings us to a final adjusted offense level of 28, his criminal history score is 6, his criminal history category is III. That produces a guideline range of 97 to 121 months, a supervised

release range of one to three years, a fine range of \$12,500 to \$500,000. The restitution amount does not appear to be disputed. It's \$754,569.74 and a special assessment of \$100 on each count for a total of \$1,300 is mandatory.

Is there any further correction or objection to that calculation not previously raised? Mr. Hafer.

MR. HAFER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Griffin.

2.

02:12PM 20

02:12PM 10

MR. GRIFFIN: No further objections, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. With that then as our starting point, I will hear from the government as to its recommendation. Mr. Hafer.

MR. HAFER: Yes, your Honor. As you know, we are recommending in this case a sentence of 144 months, which we believe is the minimum sentence sufficient, your Honor, in light of the crimes to which MacKenzie has pled and the life of crime he has led.

At the outset, your Honor, I just do want to note two First Circuit cases, recent cases in the last four to six cases of which we became aware since we filed our memo since we are asking for an upward departure. I just draw the Court's attention to a case that the First Circuit decided at the end of January, U.S. v. Santiago-Serrano. That's a case in which the government recommended a sentence of between 168 and 210 months, and the District Court Judge imposed a sentence of 360

2.

1.3

1.5

02:14PM 20

02:13PM 10

months, and the First Circuit recently affirmed that sentence finding it was not substantively unreasonable.

Then a couple weeks ago in mid-February in the case of U.S. v. Diaz Bermudez, a drug and gun case, the government recommended a sentence of 60 months, and the Judge ultimately sentenced the defendant to 108 months, 48 months or approximately 80 percent higher than the government's recommendation, and a few weeks ago the First Circuit affirmed and found that sentence was not substantively unreasonable.

Your Honor, the crimes in this case took place over more than a decade. This is not some hand-to-hand drug buy or felon in possession case in which defendants are routinely sentenced to stiff sentences for crimes that at least temporally are very, very short, this is a case in which the defendant, who as you know now is a life-long con man and violent felon devised a complex scheme to infiltrate and then commandeer a wealthy Boston church, and he did so, your Honor, for the sole purpose of lining his own pockets, and the lewding, which was sophisticated and extensive and which I'll get to in more detail a moment was considerable.

It took place over almost 11 years, and we strongly believe at the outset, your Honor, that your sentence needs to reflect the fact that this is not a one-day crime, a one-hour crime or a one-week crime but an 11-year crime, and who were the victims of this crime? It was a church, a church, a church

2.

1.5

02:16PM 20

02:15PM 10

with an extensive history of providing for the sick, the downtrodden and the needy, and instead of receiving charity from the church, what happened to the millions of dollars that would have gone to the needy and the homeless, it went to Foxwoods, Luxor, Mandalay Bay, \$90,000 Cadillacs, Lexuses, luxury boxes at the garden and things, your Honor, like drug rehabilitation treatment for a girl who was 15 ears old at the time the defendant started dating her and got her hooked on Oxycontin.

In fact, your Honor, this crime not only took place over 11 years, it not only involved vulnerable victims, deprived of many acts of kindness and charity, it was sophisticated, and it was so sophisticated that one of the most prestigious firms in Boston, Todd & Weld, who represented this church for 10 years didn't even know it was going on for almost 10 years while they were representing the church and during the entire racketeering conspiracy in this case.

How was this crime sophisticated? It was sophisticated in two ways: In the traditional white collar sense in that MacKenzie was able to amass votes, critical votes to take over a board, was able to change the by-laws of the corporation for his own benefit, was able to secede from the general convention of the Swedenborgian church, the typical, sophisticated white collar frauds, but it was also sophisticated, your Honor, in that it relied on MacKenzie's

2.

1.3

1.5

02:17PM 20

02:17PM 10

well-earned reputation for violence, and this is how it worked, to con the good people of the Swedenborgian church, MacKenzie presented himself as a redeemed mob enforcer, someone who sought salvation for the prior bad deeds of his life, and as unfortunately the folks in this church learned the hard way, nothing could have been further from the truth because lest anyone cross MacKenzie during these 11 years or challenge his authority, he was at the ready with an autographed copy of his book, Street Soldier and the threat don't make me come out of retirement, and that's where we now morph, your Honor, into the 3553(a)(1) factors and the history and characteristics of this defendant.

It's a defendant that as we said in our memorandum has been conning, manipulating and victimizing the vulnerable for his entire life. These crimes are spelled out, these prior crimes in some detail in the PSR, in our sentencing memo, but they're also described by MacKenzie himself in *Street Solider*:

Crimes like pouring scolding hot coffee on a victim and then threatening him with a beating if he testified against MacKenzie. The result of that case, dismissed.

Crimes like a prior federal drug conviction in the significant South Boston narcotics conspiracy of the early '90s, a crime for which MacKenzie ultimately received a sentence of probation, crimes like a multi-hundred thousand dollar swindle of an unsuspecting elderly woman, no jail time.

Crimes like threatening to chain your ex-wife's ankles to a cinder block and throw her off a bridge if she testified honestly in a workman's comp. case, case dismissed.

Crimes like biting off and swallowing someone's finger, vicious attacks on unsuspecting and innocent gay men, attacks, I might add, your Honor, that according to his own book, he enjoyed, no jail.

And then there's this case for which Mr. MacKenzie has been incarcerated since May of 2013, and as set forth in our memorandum and in the pre-sentence report, during that time, he's proved not only that he is a risk to recidivate, but he's already recidivated:

Insurance fraud, witness tampering, witness intimidation, lying to the Quincy District Court, attempting to lie to pretrial in this case, and what do all those cases have in common? Not only is he continuing to commit crimes in jail, but with those, he used his own daughter to facilitate the crimes, and that, amazingly, isn't even the worst of it.

As set forth in the pre-sentence report since he's been incarcerated on this case, MacKenzie has encouraged his own daughter to have sex with what he described is a nasty inmate and to have sex with his girlfriend so she wouldn't sleep with other men while he was incarcerated. Is there anything worse?

Finally, your Honor, I just want to say a few words

02:19PM 20

2.

1.3

02:18PM 10

2.

1.3

1.5

02:20PM 20

02:20PM 10

about the defendant's sentencing memorandum which we received last night at midnight and in which he asks you to downwardly depart and sentence him to 48 months, which we don't view as a serious recommendation, but, nonetheless, telling in many ways.

It's as if, in fact, your Honor, he's trying one final con. He submits for you sentencing letters, which as you now know from the pre-sentence report, many of which he edited himself to prevent you from hearing things that the people that were writing letters for him actually said, things like the young -- well, at the time young girl who started off her letter by referring to the fact that she met MacKenzie when she was 14 and they started dating, and he sent her back that letter and scratched that off and said I don't think the Judge needs to hear that, he might not understand, even though you are old enough.

Things like Mr. Diehnel, Amos Diehnel, who submitted a letter last night who initially in his letter talked about MacKenzie's book, Street Solider, and how much he enjoyed it and that he was a violent felon, and then Mr. MacKenzie got ahold of that, edited that out and said, you know, the Judge doesn't need to hear that.

Things in the sentencing memo that we read last night, your Honor, like the defendant disowning *Street Solider*, saying it was his author, it was his author and not him, but to be clear, your Honor, we have no evidence, and no one in the grand

2.

1.3

1.5

02:22PM 20

02:21PM 10

jury in this case testified that MacKenzie put any such disclaimer on that book when he used it to facilitate the years and years of crimes he committed at the church, and in a final act of chutz·pah, MacKenzie attaches to his sentencing memorandum for your review and asks you to rely on certificates of commendation for his leadership of this church at the height, at the pinnacle of the racketeering conspiracy, certificates from the various political figures, from the state house, from the state senate talking about his good work at the church and his good deeds in 2006 while he's lining his own pockets and robbing the church blind.

In conclusion, your Honor, and as we said in our memo, after far too many years of preying on society's most vulnerable members, it's time to hold Edward MacKenzie accountable. Justice is required in this case, your Honor, it's required for the church, it's required for the homeless and the needy, who were deprived services because of this crime. It's required for all his victims over the years, including Jane Doe, the poor 15 year-old girl who he got hooked on OxyContin.

There are no words for this behavior, and there is no sentencing too harsh. Fairness requires at least what the government is seeking here. The public is not safe if MacKenzie is on the street, and deterrence is not served by a guideline sentence. 3553(a) is not satisfied by any sentence

less than 144 months, and justice is not served for any of MacKenzie's victims by any sentence less than 144 months.

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hafer. Mr. Griffin.

MR. GRIFFIN: Your Honor, Mr. MacKenzie stands before the Court having pled guilty to various offenses. He's 57 years of age. As I noted in my memorandum, Mr. MacKenzie was abandoned at the age of four by his parents. He was brought up in a hostile, abusive and neglective foster care system.

Mr. MacKenzie is what he is, your Honor, I'm not here to tell you that Mr. MacKenzie did not, was not complicit in this conspiracy. He was complicit in it, he was involved in it, however, your Honor, the government makes a significant issue of the book that Mr. MacKenzie is the author of.

The book details acts that happened a lifetime ago.

They were over 30 years old. Your Honor, the book says what it says. I'm not issuing any disclaimer in the sentencing memorandum, however, the book was written by someone else.

Mr. MacKenzie did sell the rights to it.

The book was written in conjunction with him. I am not suggesting to the Court that that wasn't the case, however, Mr. MacKenzie had no authority over the final product. Some of the instances that are detailed in that book are true, some are not true.

Mr. MacKenzie has, in spite of the government's

02:23PM 10

2.

02:24PM 20

2.

1.3

1.5

02:26PM 20

02:25PM 10

characterization of his behavior, Mr. MacKenzie has worked to the best of his ability to turn his life into a productive life. As you noted from one of the attachments, he's earned a Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of Massachusetts. He has done significant work. In spite of what he has done in his criminal life, he has done significant works in attempts to help other disadvantaged youths.

As far as his involvement with the church, your Honor, the government makes the point that Todd & Weld didn't know anything about what was going on there, but the truth is the facts are, your Honor, that at every board meeting — Mr. MacKenzie was not a board member. At every board meeting, there was an attorney from Todd & Weld at that board meeting. There was an attorney from Todd & Weld that drafted every change to every bylaw. There was an attorney that advised the church regarding the changes in these bylaws, so Todd & Weld was aware of what was going on.

The attorney general of the Commonwealth did an intensive investigation of the church in 2004 and entered into a consent decree with the church regarding their oversight of nonprofit organizations within the Commonwealth long before anything that Mr. MacKenzie is alleged to have done.

Your Honor, there are a number of people that are involved in this conspiracy. Mr. MacKenzie is the only one charged. Every single contractor, every plumber, every

carpenter, every floor installer, the boiler fire persons, they all willingly paid Mr. MacKenzie gratuities and kickbacks to get the jobs. Nobody else has been charged. Mr. Kennedy was also a driving force, at least as culpable as Mr. MacKenzie and never charged in this conspiracy.

Your Honor, as I said, Mr. MacKenzie is 57 years of age. I would suggest that a 48-month incarceration is going to bring him into his '60s upon his release. He at that point in time, I would suggest, poses no threat to the public. His career is over at that point in time, your Honor, and I would suggest to the Court that the interests of justice would be met by a sentence in the range of 48 months.

I would ask the Court to consider imposing such a sentence and depart downward from the guideline range as requested by my sentencing and other issues raised in my sentencing memorandum, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Griffin. Any brief response, Mr. Hafer?

MR. HAFER: Not really. This is a factual matter, your Honor, Mr. Kennedy was charged, Mr. Kennedy did plead guilty. It's not in this indictment, but we never comment, obviously, on ongoing investigations, but he was in fact charged and pled guilty.

THE COURT: Mr. MacKenzie, do you wish to address the Court before I impose sentence?

2.

1.3

02:27PM 10

02:28PM 20

2.

1.3

1.5

02:29PM 20

02:28PM 10

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. I'm not going to stand here and try to profess my innocence, your Honor, I'm guilty. I took kickbacks, sir. All my life, I've been known as a giver. I don't live in a mansion, I don't drive these expensive cars. I had a four-, five-year old car.

I just -- I can't believe the mistakes, the poor choices that I made doing this. I'm so very, very sorry to the Court, to your Honor, to everybody here, my grandchildren. I have two daughters that I got custody of in 1998 and I've been raising. Their mother died in 2012, and they've been struggling with heroin addiction problems. They are 20 and 21, and I'm all they have, and when I got indicted, I had them into a two-week program, and they were getting out in two weeks, and they come out, and now they're homeless because of me and my poor choices and my actions.

If the Massachusetts General Hospital called today and said one of my kids was involved in an accident and I had to get there to give my heart for a heart transplant, it would be my last day on earth, your Honor, that's how much I love and care about my children.

I'm not going to address these jailhouse conversations about what was said. I think it was taken out of context. People that grow up in my neighborhood, we think differently, and we talk differently, your Honor, and we joke differently than other people may view it. I'm very sorry it was taken in

the wrong way, but as I said before, I would die, I would give my life for any one of my children, and there's not one person that doesn't know me that knows what a great father I am and how much I've always been there, and I'm absolutely heartbroken that I have my two young daughters right now that have nothing and are bouncing around from kids' houses to friends' houses hoping for their father to come home.

I'm really, really, really sorry, your Honor, and I know when this ordeal is over, which was brought on by myself, I'll go out and get a full-time job, I'll do whatever it takes, and I'll repay whatever money I owe to anybody, and I'll do whatever I have to do.

I'm just sorry, your Honor, I'm just sorry for my family, what I did and what I did to this Court and everybody to have to go through this. I just stand before you to give my justice. I'm so sorry.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. MacKenzie. I am very much aware that any person that I sentence is a human being, and I always try to see, if I can, not only what the person has done that's bad but what, if anything, is either good in their life or might be an excuse or a mitigating factor, whether the defendant or the person is remorseful, whether the person could be rehabilitated and make a positive contribution to society.

I'm struggling here under the circumstances to find a mitigating or redeeming qualities in Mr. MacKenzie. He's I

02:30PM 10

2.

02:31PM 20

2.

1.5

02:33PM 20

02:32PM 10

think obviously intelligent, although he has not used his intelligence for productive purposes as near as I can tell. He appears to love his children, although even that is in doubt. Certainly there's evidence that he was attempting to involve his children in either criminal acts or acts that are unpleasant or disreputable.

He is perhaps remorseful, but I can't say that I accept that in its entirety, and I think the bottom line here is he's an adult man, he's not a child, he's not a teenager, he's not a headstrong young man. He hasn't committed a crime of passion or a momentary lapse in judgment. He has engaged in a multi-year, decade-long episode of criminal activity that was complex and sophisticated and driven as near as I can tell entirely by greed.

We all make choices in life. We have to live with the consequences of those choices, and Mr. MacKenzie has made his choices here and will have to live with the consequences of those choices.

Sentencing is a difficult process. There are multiple purposes. They overlap, and sometimes they act at cross purposes. Often what's best for the defendant is not what's best for the victim, and it's not what is best for the public. Sometimes the aspect of sentencing that's retributive, that's about punishment overwhelms the other considerations of sentencing.

2.

1.5

02:35PM 20

02:34PM 10

Sometimes given the crime, the defendant, all the circumstances, it becomes the dominant consideration, and I think this is one of those cases. Again, his history is what it is. He is a life-long con man. There is certainly reason to believe he has an extensive history of violence, even if it is for the most part in the past. He wrote this book, I haven't read it, only the excerpts that the government has submitted, but either it's true or it's not and reinforces the fact that the defendant is someone who lies and deceives people on a regular basis.

The victim here is a church. Most of the church governance consists of people who are elderly. The crime was huge and sophisticated. He stole massive amounts of money from a church, from a charity, and what do I have to balance against all of that? The answer is not very much. He had a difficult childhood. I accept that. Again, he's now 57. He is intelligent enough to have contributed to society, but at the age of 57, he hasn't done that yet, and I don't really see much prospect of him doing so in the future.

And so I think under the circumstances I think the government has it about right. Mr. Hafer said that no sentence was too harsh. I don't know whether that's true or not. I'm not going to higher than the government recommendation, but I am going to accept it. I think this is an unusual case where an upward departure under the sentencing guidelines is

appropriate given his criminal history, the fact that his criminal history does not appear to reflect or his criminal history category does not appear to reflect the adequacy of his criminal history, the nature and circumstances of the crime, the nature and circumstances of the man, his life up to this point, the victim.

The long and sorted history that Mr. MacKenzie has and brings to court here today all suggest that a lengthy punishment is really the only sensible option on the table, and I'm going to adopt it.

I don't do that lightly. I don't do that with pleasure. I never enjoy sentencing anyone to any lengthy term of incarceration. I understand that he has family and friends who will be severely impacted by what I do, but, again, those are consequences of choices that he made and that he himself will have to live with and bear responsibility for.

With that, I'm going to formally state the sentence
I'm going to impose. When I have finished formally stating it,
I'll give the attorneys a final opportunity to make any further
objections or corrections or additions to that sentence before
I finally impose it.

Mr. MacKenzie, would you please stand. Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 and having considered the sentencing factors set forth at 18 United States Code, Section 3553(a), it is the judgment of the Court that the

02:36PM 10

2.

02:37PM 20 

2.

02:39PM 20

02:38PM 10

Defendant Edward J. MacKenzie, Jr. is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 144 months. This term consists of terms of 144 months on each count to be served concurrently.

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be place on supervised release for a term of three years. This term consists of terms of each count such terms to run concurrently. Within 72 hours from release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons, the defendant shall report in person to the district to which the defendant is released.

It is hereby ordered that the defendant shall make restitution totaling \$754,569.74 to the following entities or persons in the amounts indicated: To the Boston Society of the New Jerusalem, Incorporated, \$704,569.74; to Peter O'Connell, \$40,000; to Michael Perry, \$10,000.

Any payment made that is not payment in full shall be divided proportionately among the parties named. The restitution shall be paid by the defendant jointly and severally with the defendant convicted in a related sealed case.

Payment of the restitution shall begin immediately and shall be made according to the requirements of the Federal Bureau of Prisons' inmate financial responsibility program while the defendant is incarcerated and according to a Court-Ordered repayment schedule during the term of supervised

release.

1

2.

7

9

All restitution payments shall be made to the Clerk,
United States District Court for transfer to the identified
victims. The defendant shall notify the United States Attorney
for this district within 30 days of any change of mailing or
residence address that occurs while any portion of the
restitution remains unpaid.

The Court grants the United States motion for entry of a preliminary order of forfeiture. While under the probation office's supervision, the defendant shall comply with the following terms and conditions:

The defendant shall not commit another federal, state or local crime and shall not illegally possess a controlled substance.

The defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance.

The defendant shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter not to exceed 104 tests per year as directed by the probation office.

The defendant shall submit to the collection of a DNA sample as directed by the probation office.

The defendant shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by the Court, which are set forth at Section 5D1.3C of the Sentencing Guidelines and which

02:40PM 10

1112

13 14

1516

17 18

19

02:40PM 20

2122

23

24

will be set forth in detail in the judgment.

The defendant is prohibited from possessing a firearm, destructive device or other dangerous weapon.

The defendant shall pay the balance of any restitution owed according to a Court-ordered repayment schedule.

The defendant is prohibited from incurring new credit charges or opening additional lines of credit without the approval of the probation office while any financial obligations remain outstanding.

The defendant is to provide the probation office access to any requested financial information which may be shared with the financial litigation unit of the U.S.

Attorney's Office, and it is further ordered that the defendant shall pay to the United States a special assessment of \$1,300, which shall be due immediately.

You may be seated.

In terms of the formal reasons for the sentence, it is an upward departure from a guideline sentence. It is also a nonguideline sentence imposed under Section 3553(a) and having considered the factors set forth in that statute.

I'm imposing a term of supervised release of three years in order to help the defendant adjust to a noninstitutional lifestyle and to ensure adequate supervision.

I'm imposing no fine in light of the lengthy prison term and the three-year period of supervised release. The

02:41PM 10

1112

1

2.

3

4

7

8

9

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

02:41PM 20

21

22

24

1 combined sanction is significantly punitive, and no additional sanction by means of a fine is required, and to the extent that 2. the defendant has any financial resources now or in the future, 3 those resources should go to pay restitution of the victim 4 rather than to be paid to the United States by way of a fine, 6 and the special assessment is of course mandatory. Do counsel have any addition or correction or 7 objection to that sentence not previously raised? Mr. Hafer. 8 9 MR. HAFER: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Griffin. 02:42PM 10 11 MR. GRIFFIN: Your Honor, I would ask the Court to 12 consider staying the order of restitution until he's released 1.3 from prison. 14 THE COURT: I will not stay that order. Again, the 15 amounts that prisoners contribute to things like restitution tend to be almost nominal. They are relatively small, but I 16

think it's an important part of the process, so I will not stay that order.

Anything else, Mr. Griffin?

MR. GRIFFIN: No.

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

02:43PM 20

THE COURT: All right. The sentence is hereby imposed as stated.

Let me give Mr. MacKenzie his advice of rights. Mr. MacKenzie, you can appeal your conviction if you believe that your guilty plea was unlawful or involuntary or if there

1 was some other defect in the proceeding that has not been waived. You may have a right to appeal your sentence under 2. some circumstances, particularly if you think the sentence was 3 contrary to law. 4 5 If you're unable to pay the costs of appeal, you may ask permission to have those costs waived and appeal without pain. You must file any notice of appeal within 14 days after 7 the entry of judgment, and if you request, the clerk will 8 9 immediately prepare and file a notice of appeal on your behalf. 02:43PM 10 Is there anything further, Mr. Hafer? 11 MR. HAFER: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Griffin? 12 1.3 MR. GRIFFIN: No, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We'll stand in 1.5 recess. THE CLERK: All rise. 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor --18 THE COURT: Let's stay on the record. Mr. MacKenzie. 19 Why don't you consult with your counsel before you address me. 02:44PM 20 THE DEFENDANT: I wanted to know if I could file a 21 notice of appeal on record and request an appeals attorney for 22 that, your Honor, please. 23 THE COURT: In terms of requesting the appeals 24 attorney, that's at a different stage, but, Mr. Griffin, do you 25 want to handling the filing of a notice of appeal?

```
1
               MR. GRIFFIN: I will do so, your Honor, yes.
               THE COURT: Thank you. We'll stand in recess.
 2
 3
               (Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 2:44 p.m.)
 4
 5
                         CERTIFICATE
 6
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT )
 7
 8
      DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS ) ss.
 9
      CITY OF BOSTON )
10
11
                I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript,
12
      Pages 1 through 29 inclusive, was recorded by me
13
      stenographically at the time and place aforesaid in Criminal
14
      Action No. 13-10149-FDS, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs. EDWARD J.
15
      MacKENZIE, JR. and thereafter by me reduced to typewriting and
16
      is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.
17
                Dated this 1st day of September, 2015.
18
19
                               s/s Valerie A. O'Hara
20
21
                               VALERIE A. O'HARA
22
                               OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
23
24
25
```